

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/032,103	12/31/2001	Byung-kyu Lee	030681-351	5887
21839 7	590 09/08/2003			
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER	
			RICKMAN, HOLLY C	
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1773	9
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

"		45-8				
	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	10/032,103	LEE, BYUNG-KYU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Holly Rickman	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears n the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dated will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	June 2003 .					
•	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	vance except for formal matters, presented in the control of the c	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	,					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	Or.	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		aminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any objection to the state of the sta						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes						
a) The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional application has been re	eceived.				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	20 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	" –	(DTO 442) Danca Na(a)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. The objection to claim 15 is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The rejection of claims 9-10 and 15-16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1773

5. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-7, 9, 12-13, 15, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ikeda et al. (US 6468670).

Ikeda et al. disclose a perpendicular magnetic recording medium having a soft underlayer, a NiAl layer, a Ti layer and a magnetic layer disposed on a substrate. While the reference does not explicitly state that the Ti underlayer suppresses continuous crystalline growth from the underlying layer to the magnetic recording layer, the reference inherently satisfies this limitation by virtue of the fact that the present application discloses the same materials for use in the claimed layers.

It has been held that where claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, the burden of proof is shifted to applicant to show that prior art products do not necessarily or inherently possess characteristics of claimed products where the rejection is based on inherency under 35 USC §102 or on prima facie obviousness under 35 USC §103, jointly or alternatively. *In re Best, Bolton, and Shaw*, 195 USPQ 430. (CCPA 1977).

6. Claims 1-8, 10-14, 16-18, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lambeth et al. (US 6248416).

Lambeth et al. disclose a perpendicular magnetic recording medium having a first underlayer formed from a material such as Ag or Au, a second underlayer formed from a material such as Cu, a soft magnetic underlayer, a third underlayer formed from Ti and a magnetic layer, all disposed on a substrate. While the reference does not explicitly state that the

Art Unit: 1773

Ti underlayer suppresses continuous crystalline growth from the underlying layer to the magnetic recording layer, the reference inherently satisfies this limitation by virtue of the fact that the present application discloses the same materials for use in the claimed layers.

It has been held that where claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, the burden of proof is shifted to applicant to show that prior art products do not necessarily or inherently possess characteristics of claimed products where the rejection is based on inherency under 35 USC §102 or on prima facie obviousness under 35 USC §103, jointly or alternatively. *In re Best, Bolton, and Shaw,* 195 USPQ 430. (CCPA 1977).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the deposition method also affects the Ti layer's ability to act as a crystal growth discontinuation layer. However, according to the specification, it is the composition of the layer that controls this property (see p. 4, lines 25 et seq.). Thus, it appears that the teaching of a Ti layer by Ikeda et al. and Lambeth et al. inherently satisfies the claim limitation directed to a crystal growth discontinuation layer by virtue of Applicant's own disclosure in the specification.

Art Unit: 1773

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (703) 305-2642. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1773

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Holly Rickman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

hcr

August 25, 2003